UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,177	01/27/2006	Naohiko Shindo	Q92829	5738
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/01/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	HURLEY, SHAUN R		
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/566,177	SHINDO ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Shaun R. Hurley	3765		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILING DEPTH OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⋈ 7)⋈ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⋈	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 13-34</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>6-12</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and pers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2006</u> is/are	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. e: a) accepted or b) objected	-		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 01/27/06, 05/12/06, 10/02/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Fs1, Fs2, Fs3, Fs4, Fs5, Fs6, F31, Fe2, Fe3, Fe4, Fe5, Fe6, y1, y2, y3, P1, P2, P3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Fs₁, Fs₂, Fs₃, Fs₄, Fs₅, Fs₆, Fs₁, Fe₂, Fe₃, Fe₄, Fe₅, Fe₆, y₁, y₂, y₃, P₁, P₂, P₃. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR

Art Unit: 3765

1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 19-21, 23-28, 33, and 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grable (4003773).

Grable teaches a process for producing ultrashort-cut fibers (Figure 1) comprising binding a plurality of individual filament groups in a densely parallel state, embedding in liquefied paraffin (20), solidifying the paraffin, and shaving the fibers to less than 0.1 mm (Column 1, line 40) at an orthogonal orientation, as well as the apparatus including an adjustable back and forth moving shaving stand (40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 4, 13-18, 22, and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grable in view of Spain (3921874).

Art Unit: 3765

Grable essentially teaches the invention as detailed above, but fails to specifically teach using water in ice form as the embedding agent, which Spain teaches (Example). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have utilized water as an embedding agent, so as to reduce the cost of production. Water is less expensive than paraffin wax, and the ordinarily skilled artisan would have appreciated the benefits of such a material. Likewise, the cooling temperatures necessary for freezing water would be more beneficial to the machinery than the heating elements needed for paraffin wax, reducing mechanical heat generated during production. In regards to the types of fiber than can be processed, the device of the combination would be more than capable of processing bicomponent fibers of 0.001-10 decitex.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dennis et al (3602410) teaches what is well known in the art.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri, 8:00 am 4:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaun R Hurley Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3765

SRH

28 March 2009

/Shaun R Hurley/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765